1	2	3	4	5
19	Sikkim			
20	Goa		2	1
21	Mizoram			
22	Andaman & Nicobar.			
23				
24		11	10	7
	TOTAL	1039	752	1003

Note: —The National Security Act, 1980 is not applicable to Jammu & Kashmir. The Slate Governments of Kerala, ArunachaJ Pradesh, West Bengal and TJ. T. Administrations of Pondicherry, Lakshadweep, Dadra & Nagar Haveli have not involved the provisions of the Act.

Arrests under terrorist and disruptive activities act

14466. SHRl S. VfDUTHALAl VIRUMBI:

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the number of people arrested under the Terrorist and Disruptive Activities Act during the last three years in each State, State-wise and year-wise;

(b) whether these arrests have helped reduce terrorists' activities in these States; if not, the reasons for the same; and

(c) what steps are being taken to build up people's confidence in public adminis tration in the affected States?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING (SHRI SUBODH KANT SAHAY): (a) Statement is attached. *(See* below)

(b) Terrorist and Disruptive Activities (Prevention) Act has considerably helped in this regard.

(c) Law and order being a State subject appropriate steps are taken by the State Governments to build up people's confidence in the administration. Government of India provides assistance to the State Government as and when required.

Statement

SI. No.	Name of States	Persons arrested in 1988	Persons arres<ed in 1989	Persons arrested upto *June/* September, 1990
1	*2*	3	4	5
1.	Andhra Pradesh.		428	57*
			5	3@
			4031	480*
			2493	1697@

1	2	3	4	5
5	Himachal Pradesh	10	+	7@
6	Jammu & Kashmir	341	239	+
7	Madhya Pradesh.	92		
8	Maharashtra	166	98	65@
9	Manipur. . . .	193	142	6*
10	Rajasthan. . . .	20	21	193@
11	Uttar Pradesh	43	*41*	*49@*
12	Haryana. . . .	105	138	117@
13	Punjab. '.....	3685	2146	982@
14	West Bengal. . . .	457	• •	1@
15	Bihar.....		5	2@

+Figures not available. *Figure upto June 1990. @Figure upto Septembe 1990.

Kalpakkam Reactor

1467. SHRI TINDIVANAM G. VENKATRAMAN;

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news item which appeared in Hindustan Times dated 17th December, 1990 under the caption "Haste crippled Kalpakkam Reactor";

(b) if so, what are the details thereof;

(c) whether any probe has been ordered thereon;

(d) if so, the authority conducting the probe and what are its terms of reference;

(e) whether Government would take Wrong measures against those found responsible for crippling the reactor; and

(f) what is the approximate financial loss incurred?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE PRIME MINISTER'S OFFICE (SHRI KAMAL MORARKA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The statement that "haste crippled Kalpakkam reactor" is incorrect. The reactor is in a healthy state. The Atomic Energy Regulatory Board has recently approved increasing the power level of this reactor from the present operating level of 500 KWT to 1000 KWT after scrutiny of various performance indicators.

(c) to (e) In view of what has been stated under (b), this does not arise.

(f) Being a research reactor, there is no financial loss involved.

Enforcement of factories act in Sivakasi Match units

1468. DR. BAPU KALDATE: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the recent judgement of the Supreme Court directing the enforcement of the Factories Act in the Sivakasi Match units; and